that that concession would be extended to Muslim girls in Vernacular schools, Government stated that they did not remember. As far as I can remember, such an assurance was given. My information is that the President asked the Director whether there would be any difficulty to extend the concession to Muslim girls in Vernacular schools, and he said that there was no serious objection to it being extended. May I know whether the Government contemplate to extend the concession?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—Government found that no definite assurance was given.

Mr. K. Mohammed Seethi.—From the discussion it will be seen that there was an assurance.

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—Government has not come to a decision on the point.

Mr. K. Mohammed Seethi.—Is it under the consideration of the Government?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—Yes; so far Government have not decided.

Applications for sanction for construction of Mills.

181. **Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.**—(a) Will the Government be pleased to state date-war the names of the various applicants to Trichur Municipality for sanction to construct mills, the sites proposed by each applicant and what was done on the application in each case by the Municipality during the years 1102, 1103, 1104 and 1105;

(b) in how many of these has the Diwan interferred and with what result?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) In 1102.

16—6—1102. P. K. Sankaranarayana Ayyar, Survey No. 1922/1 (Alath paramba). D/ward.

Construction sanctioned.

2—11—1102. Parapulli Kunjuvarunni Devassikutti B/285. Sanctioned.

17—12—1102. P. K. Sankaranarayana Ayyar, Survey No. 710, Poothole. Sanctioned.

In 1103.

31—1—1103. Veluthukaran Inasu Quseph, Survey No. 49, 77 and 75, Chiyyaram village. Not considered as the site fell outside the town limits.

1—2—1103. Chakola Poranju Ouseph, Survey No. 26/1, Aranattukara. Sanctioned.

1—3—1103. Chalisseri Antony Mani and others, Survey No. 698/2, Aranattukara. Sanctioned.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

4—3—1103. Manchadikunnath Rockey John, Survey Nos. 2108 and 2109, Poothole. Sanctioned.

11—3—1103. A. S. Mahadeva Ayyar and others (Trader's Union), Survey No. 48/1, Koorkancheri. Sanctioned.

16—3—1103. Chittalapilli Vareed, Survey Nos. 927/2, 928/2 and 3 and 933/2. Erattachira. Not sanctioned.

5—5—1103. Pottakatt Sankaran and others, Survey Nos. 708/4 and 708/5 (Poothole). Sanctioned.

4—9—1103. Chiriyankandath Anthony Thoma, Survey No. 694/2, Aranattukara. Sanctioned.

2—11—1103. C. L. Joseph, Olarikara. Not considered as the site fell outside the town limits.

10—11—1103. M. C. Watta and Sons, Poothole. Sanctioned.

31—11—1103. K. S. Ittiparan, Survey Nos. 48/3 and 161/2, Koorkancheri. Sanctioned.

In 1104.

17—5—1104. Perinjeri Inasu Ouseph, Pallikulam Lane. Sanctioned.

In 1105.

6—5—1105. Paramal Kunhitty Govindan, C./878. Not sanctioned.

23—8—1105. Tharakan Devassi Ouseph and others, G/902. Pending consideration.

22—10—1105. Pattathiparambil Vareed Ouseph, A/564 and 565. Pending consideration.

(b) None.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know whether the Diwan had not issued orders to the Municipal Chairman, Trichur, that, in the case of P. K. Sankaranarayana Ayyar, the construction of the mill should be stopped?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The Chairman himself took steps to see the mill stopped till the final orders were issued by the Government.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Was not an order issued by the Diwan?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Yes. The order was pending disposal and the erection of the mill should be stopped.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know why the Diwan interfered in this matter?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There was an appeal petition that the work has been stopped by the Chairman. He interferred as it is his duty to interfere in the matter as in every other case. He issued final orders.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know what other mills are sanctioned which are in crowded places than that of Mr. Sankaranarayana Ayyar?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I want notice on that point.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know where the mill mentioned at page 12, Perinjeri Inasu Ouseph, Pallikulam lane, is? Is it not just behind the Eringeri Angadi, the most crowded part of the Trichur town?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I do not know where that mill is.

Motor Service.

182. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether any of the motor services running between Ernakulam and Kottayam belong to Cochinites;

(b) how many buses run daily between these places; what are their timings to leave Ernakulam; who are the owners of these buses;

(c) whether any of these bus owners has got direct service to Trivandurm; and if so, who has got it;

(d) how many of the buses running between Ernakulam and Kottayam stopped the service during March and April 1930 and what is the reason therefor?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) No.

(b) Vide statement enclosed. (*Vide Appendix* V.)

(c) None. But it is understood that Fancy and Joseph buses have connected service from Kottayam to Trivandrum.

(d) Three; two of Joseph Bros., T. V. R. 1802 and 1803, and one of Automobiles, T. V. R. 2472 for a short period to attend to certain repairs.

Witness batta in the Criminal Courts.

183. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state the total budget allotment under the heading 'Witness batta' in the criminal courts for the years 1104 and 1105; the names of Magistrates, if any, who had asked the parties te deposit witness batta in warrant cases; under what section of the Criminal Procedure Code have the Magistrates asked for these deposits; is it not contrary to section 2 of the Criminal Procedure Code?

(b) were there cases during the last two years in which complaints were dismissed as the parties did not deposit batta in warant cases; if so what were the cases and who were the Magistrates;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly